





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/663,183	<u>,                                      </u>	09/15/2000	Christine Depuis	05725.0753-00000	4212	
22852	7590	04/01/2002				
FINNEGA	N, HEN	DERSON, FAR	ABOW, GARRETT &			
DUNNER L	-	,	WELLS, LAUREN O			
1300 I STRE	,			WEDES, ENGICEN Q		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	, 20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1617		
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/663,183	DEPUIS, CHRISTINE				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lauren Q Wells	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MF R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of unt of the fee. The appropriate of originally set in the final Office a	PEP extension extension ction; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>			ng the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amend	dment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place	e the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	/			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-102</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the judicially created doctrine of double patenting rejection, the 112 rejection, and 103(a) rejection is maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed October 23, 2001, Paper No. 11...

DAMERON L JONES
PRIMARY EXAMINER